

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | | NEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | | | <u>-</u> | |
| • | | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| , | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • • | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | INTER | VIEW SUMMARY | DATE MAILED: | |
| , | | VIEW COMMANI | | |
| participants (applicant, applicant) | 's representative, PTO personn | nel): | | |
| Renay | ee (PTO) | (3) | • • | |
| Centry 3 | 1 | | | |
| Geza Cei g | 1. — | (4) | | |
| ite of Interview | November 2003 | | | |
| pe: Telephonic 🗆 Televideo | Conference Personal (co | ov is given to Dennlicent F |] applicant's represent | tativa) |
| \ | | • | | |
| hibit shown or demonstration con- | ducted: Yes No If yes | , brief description: | • | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | | |
| reement \times was reached. \subseteq wa | 1 | | | |
| ` | | | | |
| | <u>V I</u> | * | | |
| nim(s) discussed: | | | | |
| aim(s) discussed: | | | | |
| aim(s) discussed: | | | | |
| aim(s) discussed:entification of prior art discussed:_ | | | | |
| aim(s) discussed:entification of prior art discussed:_ | what was agreed to if an agree | ement was reached, or any other | comments: | |
| aim(s) discussed:entification of prior art discussed:_ | what was agreed to if an agree | | comments: | |
| entification of prior art discussed:entification of prior art discussed:escription of the general nature of | what was agreed to if an agree | ement was reached, or any other | comments: | |
| laim(s) discussed:lentification of prior art discussed:escription of the general nature of | what was agreed to if an agree | ement was reached, or any other | comments: | |
| laim(s) discussed:lentification of prior art discussed:escription of the general nature of | what was agreed to if an agree | ement was reached, or any other | comments: | |
| laim(s) discussed:lentification of prior art discussed:escription of the general nature of | what was agreed to if an agree | ement was reached, or any other | comments: | |
| laim(s) discussed:lentification of prior art discussed:escription of the general nature of | what was agreed to if an agree | ement was reached, or any other | comments: | |

attached.)

 $oxed{\mathbb{N}}$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)